

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 1167 1488.036000A 07/01/1999 STEVEN M. RUBEN 09/345,373 EXAMINER 22195 7590 04/02/2004 SAOUD, CHRISTINE J **HUMAN GENOME SCIENCES INC** INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPT. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 14200 SHADY GROVE ROAD 1647 ROCKVILLE, MD 20850

DATE MAILED: 04/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	×	Application No.	÷ :	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/345,373	: 1	RUBEN ET AL.	
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Christine J. Saoud	:	1647	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, within the statutory minimum ill apply and will expire SIX (cause the application to bec	may a reply be tim of thirty (30) day 6) MONTHS from ome ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		·			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Fe	ebruary 2004.	0		
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.		. 8	
3)	, <u> </u>				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>189-200</u> is/are pending in the application.					-
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		n.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 189-200 is/are rejected.		T.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			3	
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requireme	nt.		
Applicati	ion Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	*		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		:		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority	s have been receive s have been receive	d. d in Applicat	ion No	
	application from the International Bureau		11	od III dilo Hadonal Otago	
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list		•	ed.	~
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
43			20 <u>v</u>		
Attachmer	nt(s)		:		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	C	ice of Informal I	Patent Application (PTO-152)	
				·	

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 05 February 2004 has been entered.

Claims 1-188 are canceled and claims 189-200 have been amended as requested in the paper filed 14 January 2004 (request for entry entered in RCE of 05 February 2004). Claims 189-200 are pending and under examination.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 1647

Claims 189-200 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over at least claims 1-3 and 62-65 of U.S. Patent No. 6,077,692. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claims are directed to a genus of molecules which are anticipated by the species of Ser(69)-Ser(208) of SEQ ID NO:2. This is in fact a preferred embodiment, and therefore, and obvious species of the claimed genus (95%-97% sequence identity to said species). Therefore, a patent to the claimed subject matter would provide an unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent. This ground of rejection was necessitated by the amendment of the claims and was not applicable to the previous claims for the reasons of record in the Office action of 04 April 2003.

Claims 189-200 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 95-102 of copending Application No. 10/075,446. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: polypeptides with 95-97% amino acid sequence identity to Ser(69)-Ser(208) of SEQ ID NO:2. The claims of '446 recite Ala(63)-Ser(208) with no functional language, however, the peptides

Art Unit: 1647

encompassed by '446 significantly overlap with those claimed in the instant application and are fully disclosed in both applications, making them obvious variants of one another and not patentably distinct.

Claim Objections

Claims 194 and 200 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The subject matter of the base claim is an isolated polypeptide, however, the recitation of "together with a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier or excipient" fails to further limit the subject matter of the "isolated polypeptide". It is suggested that these claims be rewritten in independent form directed to a "composition comprising the polypeptide of claim X and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier or excipient", which would be acceptable.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christine J. Saoud whose telephone number is 571-272-0891. The examiner can normally be reached on mttr, 8:00-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz can be reached on 571-272-0887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1647

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CHRISTINE J. SAOUD PRIMARY EXAMINER

Christine J. Saoud